

CRYPTOGRAPHIC KEYS USING RANDOM NUMBERS INSTEAD OF RANDOM PRIMES

3 TECHNICAL FIELD

4 The present invention relates to a method for providing cryptographic keys usable in a
5 network of connected computer nodes applying a signature scheme. Further, the present
6 invention relates to a method for providing a signature value on a message in a network
7 of connected computer nodes. Moreover, the present invention also relates to a method
8 for verifying a signature value on a message in a network of connected computer nodes.

9 BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Many cryptographic schemes require the generation of a (random) prime each time it is used. Examples are signature schemes, group signature schemes, or credential systems, such as the so-called Cramer-Shoup signature scheme by R. Cramer and V. Shoup "Signature schemes based on the strong RSA assumption." In Proc. 6th ACM Conference on Computer and Communications Security, pages 46–52. ACM press, Nov. 1999, or the credential system by J. Camenisch and A. Lysyanskaya in their article "Efficient non-transferable anonymous multi-show credential system with optional anonymity revocation." In B. Pfitzmann, editor, Advances in Cryptology - EUROCRYPT 2001, volume 2045 of LNCS, pages 93-118, Springer Verlag, 2001. The security of all these schemes is based on the so-called strong RSA assumption. More precisely, their security

1 proofs require that each signatures or credentials is computed using a unique prime, i.e.,
2 the computation of each signature or credential involves computing an e -th root where e
3 is said unique prime. The e is also referred to as unique exponent in the following.

4 Unfortunately, the generation of primes is computationally expensive, especially if they
5 need to be large. Because of this, the generation of signatures or credentials in the above
6 mentioned schemes becomes computationally involved.

7 For the generation of primes one could in principle each time choose any integer as
8 unique exponent, as long as it possesses a prime factor that does not appear in any unique
9 exponent that was used before. This would require to store all exponents used so far and
10 test the newly chosen exponent against these numbers; which, however, is very
11 inefficient.

12 From the above it follows that there is still a need in the art that the generation of a
13 signature is simplified for these schemes. Usually, a new prime is necessary each time a
14 signature is generated, this is rather inefficient. Therefore, it is advantageous to provide
15 cryptographic keys and signature values more efficiently. Each signature value should be
16 verifiable.

17 GLOSSARY

18 The following are informal definitions to aid in the understanding of the description.

19 **Credential:** In the present context is understood under the term credential, a
20 subset of access permissions (developed with the use of media-independent data)
21 attesting to, or establishing, the identity of an entity, such as a birth certificate,
22 driver's license, mother's maiden name, social security number, fingerprint, voice

1 print, or other biometric parameter(s). Moreover, the credential comprises
2 information, passed from one entity to another, used to establish the sending
3 entity's access rights. The term certificate is understood as a particular credential
4 stating that a certain public key belongs to a certain entity or user.

5 **Signature:** A digital signature consists of one or more values that relate a
6 message to a public key. A signature can only be produced using the secret key
7 corresponding to the public key.

8 The following signs relate to the terms indicated beside and are used within the
9 description.

10 A, B, C, D computer nodes
11 p, q primes
12 n product of p and q
13 sk secret key being derived from p and q
14 A first random limit
15 v interval widths
16 A, v exponent-interval description
17 I exponent interval
18 u, l security parameter
19 e exponent value
20 e' random prime
21 m message
22 x' verification value
23 H hash function
24 QR_n elements having a square root modulo n
25 y', h, x elements of QR_n
26 y computed signature root value

1	y, y', e	signature value
2	h, x	public values
3	n, h, x, e', I	public key value
4	pk	public key comprising public key value (n, h, x, e', I) and
5		exponent-interval description (A, v)
6	u	random bit-numbers

7 SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

8 Thus, this invention provides systems, apparatus and methods providing an efficient
9 scheme for generating a unique exponent or exponent value such that it is no longer
10 necessary to generate a new prime for each use of them. In an example embodiment, the
11 scheme uses integers drawn from a particular interval instead of primes. Because
12 choosing a random integer is much more efficient than choosing a prime at random, the
13 issuing of signatures or credentials in resulting schemes will be more efficient. An
14 observation that allows one to use composites, i.e. non-primes, instead of primes as in the
15 above mentioned scheme is that it is in fact sufficient for the schemes' security if each
16 unique exponent has a unique prime factor that is sufficiently large.

17 In accordance with a first aspect of the present invention, there is given a method for
18 providing cryptographic keys usable in a network of connected computer nodes A, B, C,
19 D applying a signature scheme. The method executable by a first computer node A
20 comprising the steps of:

- 21 - generating a random secret key sk ;
- 22 - generating an exponent interval I having a first random limit A , wherein, with a
23 probability close to certainty, each element of the exponent interval I has a unique
24 prime factor that is larger than a given security parameter l ;

1 - providing a public key pk comprising an exponent-interval description A , v and a
2 public key value n , h , x , e' , I derived from the random secret key sk ,
3 such that the random secret key sk and a selected exponent value e from the
4 exponent interval I are usable for deriving a signature value y, y', e on a message
5 m to be sent within the network to a second computer node B, C, D for
6 verification.

7 In accordance with a second aspect of the present invention, there is given a method for
8 providing a signature value y, y', e on a message m in a network of connected computer
9 nodes A, B, C, D, the method executable by a first computer node A comprising the steps
10 of:

11 - selecting an exponent value e from an exponent interval I , wherein each element
12 of the exponent interval I has, with a probability close to certainty, a unique prime
13 factor that is larger than a given security parameter l ; and
14 - deriving the signature value y, y', e from a provided secret key sk , the selected
15 exponent value e , and the message m , the signature value y, y', e being sendable
16 within the network to a second computer node B, C, D for verification.

17 In accordance with a third aspect of the present invention, there is given a method for
18 verifying a signature value y, y', e on a message m in a network of connected computer
19 nodes A, B, C, D, the method executable by a second computer B, C, D node comprising
20 the steps of:

21 - receiving the signature value y, y', e from a first computer node A; and
22 - verifying whether an exponent value e is contained in an exponent interval I ,
23 wherein each element of the exponent interval I has, with a probability close to
24 certainty, a unique prime factor that is larger than a given security parameter l , the
25 signature value y, y', e is invalid if the exponent value e is not contained in the
26 exponent interval I .

1 **BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS**

2 The invention and its embodiments will be more fully appreciated by reference to the
3 following detailed description of advantageous and illustrative embodiments in
4 accordance with the present invention when taken in conjunction with the accompanying
5 drawings.

6 **FIG. 1** shows a typical network with multiple computer nodes.

7 **FIG. 2** shows a flow diagram according to a first aspect of the invention.

8 **FIG. 3** shows a flow diagram according to a second aspect of the invention.

9 **FIG. 4** shows a flow diagram according to a third aspect of the invention.

10 The drawings are provided for illustrative purpose only and do not necessarily represent
11 practical examples of the present invention to scale.

12 **DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION**

13 Thus, this invention provides an efficient scheme for generating a unique exponent or
14 exponent value such that it is no longer necessary to generate a new prime for each use of
15 them. In an example embodiment, the scheme uses integers drawn from a particular
16 interval instead of primes. Because choosing a random integer is much more efficient
17 than choosing a prime at random, the issuing of signatures or credentials in resulting
18 schemes will be more efficient. An observation that allows one to use composites, i.e.
19 non-primes, instead of primes as in the above mentioned scheme is that it is in fact
20 sufficient for the schemes' security if each unique exponent has a unique prime factor that
21 is sufficiently large.

1 In general, at first a sufficiently large set of integers is determined such that all the
2 integers in the set have a unique prime factor. Once this set is specified, one chooses as
3 unique exponent a random element from the set. If the set is sufficiently large, one will
4 with high probability not select the same element twice. This is most efficient if the set is
5 an interval. Below it is described how to determine intervals such that each integer in the
6 interval has a unique prime factor.

7 In accordance with a first aspect of the present invention, there is given a method for
8 providing cryptographic keys usable in a network of connected computer nodes A, B, C,
9 D applying a signature scheme. The method executable by a first computer node A
10 comprising the steps of:

11 - generating a random secret key sk ;
12 - generating an exponent interval I having a first random limit A , wherein, with a
13 probability close to certainty, each element of the exponent interval I has a unique
14 prime factor that is larger than a given security parameter l ;
15 - providing a public key pk comprising an exponent-interval description A , v and a
16 public key value n, h, x, e', I derived from the random secret key sk ,
17 such that the random secret key sk and a selected exponent value e from the
18 exponent interval I are usable for deriving a signature value y, y', e on a message
19 m to be sent within the network to a second computer node B, C, D for
20 verification.

21 The step of generating a random secret key sk can comprise the use of two primes p and
22 q . The product of the two primes can then be part of the public key pk . As this approach
23 is based on the hardness of factoring a secure cryptographic system can be achieved.
24 -

1 In another approach the step of generating a random secret key sk can comprise selecting
2 an integer value d which defines a class group G and selecting two elements g and z of
3 the class group G . As this approach is based on the hardness of computing roots in groups
4 of unknown order, a more secure cryptographic system can thus be provided. The step of
5 providing the public key pk can then comprise computing a modified public key value d ,
6 h, x, e', I under use of the selected two elements g and z and the exponent interval I . This
7 is further confirmed by the hardness of computing roots in groups of unknown order and
8 thus leads to an even more secure cryptographic system.

9 In accordance with a second aspect of the present invention, there is given a method for
10 providing a signature value y, y', e on a message m in a network of connected computer
11 nodes A, B, C, D, the method executable by a first computer node A comprising the steps
12 of:

- 13 - selecting an exponent value e from an exponent interval I , wherein each element
14 of the exponent interval I has, with a probability close to certainty, a unique prime
15 factor that is larger than a given security parameter l ; and
- 16 - deriving the signature value y, y', e from a provided secret key sk , the selected
17 exponent value e , and the message m , the signature value y, y', e being sendable
18 within the network to a second computer node B, C, D for verification.

19 The step of deriving the signature value y, y', e can further comprise a computation of the
20 i -th root y of a value derived from the message m and the secret key sk using a
21 cryptographic hash function H . The i is contemplated as the exponent value i . This allows
22 the design of securer cryptographic systems.

23 In accordance with a third aspect of the present invention, there is given a method for
24 verifying a signature value y, y', e on a message m in a network of connected computer

1 nodes A, B, C, D, the method executable by a second computer B, C, D node comprising
2 the steps of:

3 - receiving the signature value y, y', e from a first computer node A; and
4 - verifying whether an exponent value e is contained in an exponent interval I ,
5 wherein each element of the exponent interval I has, with a probability close to
6 certainty, a unique prime factor that is larger than a given security parameter l , the
7 signature value y, y', e is invalid if the exponent value e is not contained in the
8 exponent interval I .

9 The step of verifying can further comprise a computing step of raising a computed
10 signature root value y to the power of the exponent value e . The computed signature root
11 value y forms part of the signature value y, y', e .

12 Fig. 1 shows a typical network with multiple computer nodes A, B, C, D, where each
13 node can also be contemplated as participating network device. More particularly, the
14 figure shows an example of a common computer system 2, where a random number r is
15 generated. It consists here of four computer nodes A, B, C, and D which are connected
16 via communication lines 5 to the network. Each computer node A, B, C, D may be any
17 type of computer device known in the art from a computer on a chip or a wearable
18 computer to a large computer system. The communication lines 5 can be any
19 communication means commonly known to transmit data or messages from one computer
20 node A, B, C, D to another. For instance, the communication lines 5 may be either single,
21 bi-directional communication lines 5 between each pair of participating network devices
22 A, B, C, D or one unidirectional line in each direction between each pair of computer
23 nodes A, B, C, D. Such communication lines 5 are well known in the art. The common
24 computer system 2 is shown to facilitate the description of the following random number
25 generation protocol.

1 The following describes in more detail how cryptographic keys sk , pk can be provided as
2 well as a signature value y, y', e on a message m is created. Further, the verification of the
3 signature value y, y', e is shown in more detail.

4 *Cryptographic keys*

5 With reference to Fig. 2, the generation of a secret key sk and a public key pk is now
6 described. The secret key sk and the public key pk are contemplated as cryptographic
7 keys sk, pk which are usable in a network of the connected computer nodes A, B, C, D
8 which apply a signature scheme. In the following it is assumed that the first computer
9 node A executes the following steps. At first, as indicated in box 20, a random secret key
10 sk is generated. For that two primes p and q forming the secret key can be used, whereby
11 the product of the two primes p and q is part of the public key pk . Then an exponent
12 interval I is chosen that can be determined according to the description below, whereby
13 the exponent interval I has a first random limit A , as indicated in box 22. With a
14 probability close to certainty, each element of the exponent interval I has a unique prime
15 factor that is larger than a given security parameter l . More precisely, let n be the product
16 of two sufficiently large primes p and q , h and x two elements from QR_n , and e' a random l
17 $+ 1$ bit prime. Let H be a hash function whose outputs have l bits. As indicated with box
18 24, the first computer node A performs some computations and selections in order to
19 provide the public key pk as indicated with box 26. The public key pk finally comprises
20 an exponent-interval description A, v and a public key value n, h, x, e', I which is derived
21 from the random secret key sk . As indicated within box 24, the first computer node A
22 selects an exponent value e from the exponent interval I and a random prime e' , computes
23 the product n of the primes p and q and derives from n the two public values h, x . Thereby
24 the random secret key sk and the selected exponent value e are usable for deriving a
25 signature value y, y', e on a message m . This signature value y, y', e can then be sent
26 within the network 5 to a second computer node B, C, D for verification purposes.

1 In a further embodiment, the generation of the random secret key sk comprises the
2 selection of an integer value d which defines a class group G and the selection of two
3 elements g and z of said class group G . Consequently, a modified public key value $d, h, x,$
4 e', I can be provided under use of the selected two elements g and z and the exponent
5 interval I , while e' is chosen randomly and h, x are calculates as follows:

$$6 \quad h = g^{\prod_{e \in I} e}, \quad x = z^{\prod_{e \in I} e}.$$

7 As this is based on the hardness of computing roots in groups of unknown order, a secure
8 cryptographic system can be provided.

9 Fig. 3 shows a flow diagram for deriving the signature value y, y', e that is sendable
10 within the network to the second computer node B, C, D for verification. For the
11 derivation the first computer node A performs a selection of an exponent value e from an
12 exponent interval I as indicated with box 30, wherein each element of the exponent
13 interval I has, with a probability close to certainty, a unique prime factor that is larger
14 than a given security parameter l . The signature value y, y', e is then derived, as indicated
15 with box 34 and mathematically shown below, from the provided secret key p and q as
16 indicated with box 31, the selected exponent value e , the message m as indicated with box
17 32, and part of the public key value n, h, x, e' as indicated with box 33.

18 In a further embodiment, the signature value y, y', e can be derived by computing the e -th
19 root y of a value derived from the message m , also referred to as computed signature root
20 value y , and the secret key sk by using a cryptographic hash function H .

21 Mathematically, to sign a message m , the signer, i.e. the first computer node A, chooses a
22 random element y' from QR_n or from G , in case of class groups, and an exponent value e
23 from I , and computes a y such that

$$y^e = xh^{H(x')}$$

$$y'^{e'} = x'h^{H(m)},$$

that means the computed signature root value y can be determined as follows

$$y = (x h^{H(y'^{e'} h^{-H(m)})})^{1/e}.$$

To verify a signature, one computes $x' = y'^{e'} h^{-H(m)}$ and checks that $y^e = xh^{H(x')}$ and $e \in I$ holds.

That means for verifying the signature value y, y', e on the message m one second computer node B, C, D receives the signature value y, y', e , as indicated with box 40, from the first computer node A. The second computer node B, C, D verifies by using the provided part of the public key value n, h, x, e' as indicated with box 33 whether or not the exponent value e is contained in the exponent interval I as indicated with box 44. Thereby each element of the exponent interval I should have, with a probability close to certainty, a unique prime factor that is larger than the given security parameter l . The signature value y, y', e is invalid if the exponent value e is not contained in the exponent interval I .

The check comprises computing y^e which means that the computed signature root value y that is part of the signature value y, y', e is raised to the power of the exponent value e as shown in the equation above.

Choosing an Interval

In the following is addressed how an exponent interval I can be chosen. The above scheme can be shown secure if the interval I contains only few integers that have either a distinct prime factor larger than a certain size l or two distinct prime-factors larger than 2^l (the integers that do not meet these conditions are called (l, v) -smooth) and no integer with

1 the largest prime factor smaller than 2^v . Therefore, in order to choose an interval I one
2 need to evaluate the probabilities for that whether a randomly chosen interval meets this
3 condition.

4 Let n_1 and n_2 denote the biggest and second biggest prime factor of number n ,
5 respectively. Define the quantities

6 $\Psi(x,y) = \#\{0 < n \leq x : n_1 \leq y\}$ and $\Psi(x,y,z) = \#\{0 < n \leq x : n_1 \leq y, n_2 \leq z, \}$.

7 It can be shown that the probability that randomly chosen interval $I = [A, A + 2^v]$, contains
8 more than $2^{v/5}$ integers that are (l,v) -smooth is at most $\Psi(A, 2^l, 2^v) 2^{4v/5} / A$ and that it
9 contains no odd integer with a prime factor smaller than 2^v is at most $\Psi(A, 2^v) 2^v / A$,
10 provided that $v < \log(A) < v^2$ holds. This now allows one to choose the A , l , and v (and
11 thereby the interval) such that these probabilities are small, i.e., such that I meets the
12 required condition with sufficiently high probability. To evaluate the quantities $\Psi(x,y)$
13 and $\Psi(x,y,z)$ one can use bounds on them that are found in literature.

14 Any disclosed embodiment may be combined with one or several of the other
15 embodiments shown and/or described. This is also possible for one or more features of
16 the embodiments. Variations described for the present invention can be realized in any
17 combination desirable for each particular application. Thus particular limitations, and/or
18 embodiment enhancements described herein, which may have particular advantages to a
19 particular application need not be used for all applications. Also, not all limitations need
20 be implemented in methods, systems and/or apparatus including one or more concepts of
21 the present invention.

22 The present invention can be realized in hardware, software, or a combination of
23 hardware and software. A visualization tool according to the present invention can be
24 realized in a centralized fashion in one computer system, or in a distributed fashion where

1 different elements are spread across several interconnected computer systems. Any kind
2 of computer system - or other apparatus adapted for carrying out the methods and/or
3 functions described herein - is suitable. A typical combination of hardware and software
4 could be a general purpose computer system with a computer program that, when being
5 loaded and executed, controls the computer system such that it carries out the methods
6 described herein. The present invention can also be embedded in a computer program
7 product, which comprises all the features enabling the implementation of the methods
8 described herein, and which - when loaded in a computer system - is able to carry out
9 these methods.

10 Computer program means or computer program in the present context include any
11 expression, in any language, code or notation, of a set of instructions intended to cause a
12 system having an information processing capability to perform a particular function
13 either directly or after conversion to another language, code or notation, and/or
14 reproduction in a different material form.

15 Thus the invention includes an article of manufacture which comprises a computer usable
16 medium having computer readable program code means embodied therein for causing a
17 function described above. The computer readable program code means in the article of
18 manufacture comprises computer readable program code means for causing a computer to
19 effect the steps of a method of this invention. Similarly, the present invention may be
20 implemented as a computer program product comprising a computer usable medium
21 having computer readable program code means embodied therein for causing a function
22 described above. The computer readable program code means in the computer program
23 product comprising computer readable program code means for causing a computer to
24 effect one or more functions of this invention. Furthermore, the present invention may be
25 implemented as a program storage device readable by machine, tangibly embodying a
26 program of instructions executable by the machine to perform method steps for causing
27 one or more functions of this invention.

1 It is noted that the foregoing has outlined some of the more pertinent objects and
2 embodiments of the present invention. This invention may be used for many
3 applications. Thus, although the description is made for particular arrangements and
4 methods, the intent and concept of the invention is suitable and applicable to other
5 arrangements and applications. It will be clear to those skilled in the art that
6 modifications to the disclosed embodiments can be effected without departing from the
7 spirit and scope of the invention. The described embodiments ought to be construed to
8 be merely illustrative of some of the more prominent features and applications of the
9 invention. Other beneficial results can be realized by applying the disclosed invention in
10 a different manner or modifying the invention in ways known to those familiar with the
11 art.